



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/832,396	04/10/2001	James Cahill	1968.1-1C	8541

24243 7590 06/18/2003

CHARMASSON & BUCHACA
1545 HOTEL CIRCLE SOUTH
SUITE 150
SAN DIEGO, CA 92108-3412

EXAMINER

BRITTAIN, JAMES R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3677

DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Applicati n N .

09/832,396

Applicant(s)

CAHILL, JAMES

Examiner

James R. Brittain

Art Unit

3677

All Participants:

(1) James R. Brittain.

(2) John D. Buchaca.

Status of Applicati n: Rejected 11/18/02

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 June 2003

Time: 12:05

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: I inquired as to whether a response had been sent to the office action mailed 11/18/02 because none had been received, this being preparation for mailing of the notice of abandonment. Mr. Buchaca informed me that a response had been sent. I informed Mr. Buchaca that we did not have a copy of the response and that he would need to institute a procedure to withdraw a holding of abandonment and Mr. Buchaca said he would.